

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/859,719	0	5/16/2001	Devin Eugene Mix	12929.1059US01 2781		
23552	7590	07/31/2003				
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				EXAMINER		
MINNEAPOL	-	55402-0903		JULES, FRANTZ F		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3617		
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)							
Advisory Action	09/859,719	MIX ET AL.	\bigvee					
,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Frantz F. Jules	3617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s					
THE REPLY FILED 07/08/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		:					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drawe been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension of the shortene by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	e fee. The appropriate extens the final Office action; or (2)	ion fee under as set forth in					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sim	plifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE:								
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed a	mendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			d an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examin	er.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·						
10. Other:								
		FFJ 7/30/03						
	····							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/859,719

*

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are properly rejected for the reasons set forth in the final office action.

S. JOSEPH MORANO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500